

International Qurʾānic Studies Association
Studies in the Qurʾān 1

Michel Cuypers

A Qurʾānic Apocalypse

A Reading of the
Thirty-Three Last Sūrahs
of the Qurʾān

A Qur'ānic Apocalypse

International Qur'ānic Studies Association
Studies in the Qur'ān

Series Editor

Gerald Hawting

Number One
A Qur'ānic Apocalypse:
A Reading of the Thirty-Three Last Sūrah's of the Qur'ān

Michel Cuypers

A Qur'ānic Apocalypse

A Reading of the Thirty-Three Last
Sūrahs of the Qur'ān

Translation by Jerry Ryan



LOCKWOOD PRESS

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by means of any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission should be addressed in writing to Lockwood Press, P.O. Box 133289, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA.

© Lockwood Press

ISBN: 978-1-948488-01-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018955410

Cover design by Susanne Wilhelm

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper.

“Form is the gateway to meaning”
Paul Beauchamp (1924–2001)

Contents

Series Editor's Foreword	xi
Acknowledgments	xiii
Introduction	xv
Author's Note	xix
A Brief Overview of the Thirty-Three Sūrahs	xxiii
Abbreviations, Acronyms, References, and Quotes	xxv
Glossary of Technical Terms	xxvii

FIRST SECTION

The Announcement of the Day of Judgment (Sūrahs 81–92)

First Sub-Section (Sūrahs 81–84)	3
A. Sequence 1 (Sūrahs 81–82)	3
1. Sūrat al-Takwīr, “The Darkening”	3
2. Annex: Semitic Rhetoric in the Testament of Moses	25
3. Sūrat al-Infīṭār, “The Shattering”	29
4. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 81 and 82	37
B. Sequence 2 (Sūrahs 83–84)	40
1. Sūrat al-Muṭaffifīn, “The Defrauders”	40
2. Sūrat al-Inshiqāq, “The Rending Asunder”	56
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 83 and 84	64
C. The First Sub-Section as a Whole (Sūrahs 81–84)	65
1. The Correspondences between the Outer Sūrahs AA'	66
2. The Correspondences between the Contiguous Sūrahs BB'	68
Second Sub-Section (Sūrahs 85–92)	71
A. Sequence 3 (Sūrahs 85–88)	71
I. The First Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 85–86)	71
1. Sūrat al-Burūj, “The Constellations”	71
2. Sūrat al-Ṭāriq, “The Morning Star”	88
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 85 and 86	93
II. The Second Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 87–88)	96
1. Sūrat al-A'lā, “The Most-High”	96
2. Sūrat al-Ghāshiyah, “The Overwhelming”	105
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 87 and 88	112
III. The Whole of Sequence 3 (Sūrahs 85–88)	116
1. The Correspondences between the Outer Sūrahs AA'	116

2. The Correspondences between the Contiguous Sūrahs BB'	118
B. Sequence 4 (Sūrahs 89–92)	119
I. The First Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 89–90)	119
1. Sūrat al-Fajr, "The Dawn"	119
2. Sūrat al-Balad, "The City"	133
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 89 and 90	140
II. The Second Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 91–92)	144
1. Sūrat al-Shams, "The Sun"	144
2. Sūrat al-Layl, "The Night"	148
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 91 and 92	153
C. The Whole of the Second Sub-Section (Sūrahs 85–92)	155
1. The Correspondences between Sūrahs 85 and 89 (AA')	155
2. Sūrahs 86 and 90 (BB')	157
3. Sūrahs 87 and 91 (CC')	158
4. Sūrahs 88 and 92 (DD')	159
5. Sūrahs 85 and 92 (AD')	161
The Rhetorical Links between the Two Sub-Sections of the First Section	163

SECOND SECTION

The Advent of an Arab Prophet (Sūrahs 93–112)

First Sub-Section: A Prophet in Crisis (Sūrahs 93–98)	167
A. Sequence 1 (Sūrahs 93–94)	167
1. Sūrat al-Ḍuḥā, "The Morning Light"	167
2. Sūrat al-Sharḥ, "The Expansion"	173
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 93 and 94	177
B. Sequence 2 (Sūrahs 95–96)	181
1. Sūrat al-Tīn, "The Fig Tree"	181
2. Sūrat al-ʿAlaq, "The Clot"	185
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 95 and 96	200
C. Sequence 3 (Sūrahs 97–98)	201
1. Sūrat al-Qadr, "The Decree"	201
2. Sūrat al-Bayyinah, "The Proof"	206
3. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 97 and 98	212
4. The First Sub-Section, Sūrahs 93–98	214
Second Sub-Section: Eschatological Preaching (Sūrahs 99–104)	223
A. Sequence 4 (Sūrahs 99–101)	223
1. Sūrat al-Zalzalah, "The Earthquake"	223
2. Sūrat al-ʿĀdiyāt, "The Runners"	226
3. Sūrat al-Qārīʿah, "The Striking"	230

4. The Rhetorical Links between Sūrahs 99, 100, and 101	234
B. Sequence 5 (Sūrahs 102–104)	236
1. Sūrat al-Takāthur, “The Rivalry”	236
2. Sūrat al-‘Aşr, “The Time”	239
3. Sūrat al-Humazah, “The Backbiter”	240
4. The Rhetorical Links among Sūrahs 102, 103, and 104	244
5. The Whole of the Second Sub-Section (Sūrahs 99–104)	246
6. The Rhetorical Links between the First and Second Sub-Sections	249
Third Sub-Section: A Brief Biography of the Prophet (Sūrahs 105–112)	252
An Overview of the Sub-Section	252
A. Sequence 6 (Sūrahs 105–108)	254
I. The First Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 105–106)	254
1. Sūrat al-Fil, “The Elephant”	254
2. Sūrat Quraysh, “The Quraysh”	258
3. The Sub-Sequence, Sūrahs 105–106	261
II. Second Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 107–108)	263
1. Sūrat al-Mā‘ūn, “The Succor”	263
2. Sūrat al-Kawthar, “The Abundance”	268
3. The Sub-Sequence, Sūrahs 107–108	274
III. The Entire Sequence 6 (Sūrahs 105–108)	276
B. Sequence 7 (Sūrahs 109–112)	277
I. The First Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 109–110)	277
1. Sūrat al-Kāfirūn, “The Disbelievers”	277
2. Sūrat al-Naşr, “The Divine Support”	279
3. The Sub-Sequence, Sūrahs 109–110	281
II. The Second Sub-Sequence (Sūrahs 111–112)	282
1. Sūrat al-Masad, “The Palm Fibers”	282
2. Sūrat al-Ikhlās, “The Pure Cult”	283
3. The Sub-Sequence, Sūrahs 111–112	293
III. The Whole of the Sequence (Sūrahs 109–112)	296
C. The Whole Third Sub-Section, Sūrahs 105–112	297

THIRD SECTION

Two Prophylactic Prayers (Sūrahs 113 and 114)

1. Sūrat al-Falaq, “The Daybreak”	302
2. Sūrat Al-Nās, “Mankind”	305
3. The Links between Sūrahs 113 and 114	306
4. The Links between Sections 2 and 3	307

The Correspondences between the Beginning and End of the Qur'ān	308
1. Sūrat al-Fātiḥah, "The Opening"	308
2. The Relationships between Sūrat al-Fātiḥah and Sūrahs 113–114	318
3. Q Baqarah 2:2–7	320
4. Sūrahs 105–112, the Beginning of the Qur'ān, and the Psalter	323
Conclusion	329
Bibliography	341
Subject Index	347
Index of Qur'ānic References	348
Index of Biblical References	350
Index of Authors Quoted	352

Series Editor's Foreword

It is a privilege and a pleasure to inaugurate the International Qur'ānic Studies Association (IQSA) monograph series "Studies in the Qur'ān" with this volume by Michel Cuypers. In recent years Professor Cuypers has pioneered and championed the argument that, far from being the randomly assembled collection of disparate materials that many readers have supposed, the Qur'ān is a tightly structured text that only reveals its meanings and messages when its form is properly understood. Its form, it is argued, is revealed when it is analysed as an example of what is referred to as Semitic rhetoric—conventions of composition that shape several ancient and late antique texts composed in Semitic or related Near Eastern languages. Since there are no contemporary handbooks setting out or discussing those conventions, they have to be deduced from the texts themselves. This method of analysis was first applied in the field of biblical studies, notably by Roland Meynet, and has since been developed by Professor Cuypers and others who have applied it to different Near Eastern texts. In this work he uses it to analyse the final thirty-three chapters of the Qur'ān, which he describes as "a Qur'ānic Apocalypse."

The book, developed from a series of articles published between 1997 and 2003, was first published in French as *Une apocalypse coranique* in 2014, but this English version has been updated by the author to include his latest thoughts, and revision of some of those proposed in the French text. The Publications Committee of IQSA chose to begin its monograph series with an English version of the book, not merely because of the interest that this relatively unfamiliar approach to the Qur'ān has aroused, but also because it was felt that the inevitably detailed and technical nature of some of the discussion might be more approachable in English to those readers who do not have French as a first language.

Readers will be grateful to the author for his clear and orderly presentation of sometimes complex material. To that end he has arranged that the tables which contain portions of the Qur'ānic text, sometimes with parallel and variant versions, or similar material from other texts, should appear on the same page as, or on that facing, his comments on them. This will allow the reader to see the text and commentary together at a glance. Occasionally it has involved leaving some blank space on a page. He has also developed a way, exploiting the use of various fonts and styles, to show readers who may not be familiar with Qur'ānic Arabic, how the sounds, rhymes,

emphases, meanings and other ingredients of the Arabic text echo or recur in different places.

The Publications Committee appreciates the care taken by Dr. Billie Jean Collins and her colleagues at Lockwood Press to accommodate these and other features of the text. A special word of thanks must go to the copy editor for IQSA, Ryann Craig, of the Catholic University of America, who devoted so much time and attention to the text when it was still in a raw form, and then worked closely with Billie Jean after it had been sent to the press. Ryann's expertise, not merely as a copy editor but as a scholar of things Semitic, enabled her greatly to improve the text that she had received. The translation also benefited at an early stage from the scrutiny of Professor Devin Stewart of Emory University, who kindly read the entire text and supplied numerous suggestions for improvements. Finally, Professor Cuypers himself has been painstaking in reading and re-reading the proofs. Emran El-Badawi, the Executive Director of IQSA and Associate Professor of Middle Eastern Studies at the University of Houston, was as generous with financial support for this publication as he was tireless in his work on behalf of IQSA.

In retrospect, we might have chosen something a little less challenging, with regard to its formatting and translation, for the first publication in what we hope will be a long series of IQSA monographs, but we trust that the interest and importance of Professor Cuypers' work will compensate for any delay in launching the series.

Acknowledgments

This book, preceded by two others, completes a research on the rhetorical analysis of the Qur'an. Once again, we express our gratitude to the Dominican friars of IDEO and the convent of Cairo for their indefatigable and kind welcome and for their constant intellectual and fraternal support. The rich library of IDEO (Dominican Institute of Oriental Studies) and its peaceful and studious atmosphere have provided us with ideal working conditions for more than twenty-five years.

These three books would never have been born if we had not known Professor Roland Meynet and his work on biblical rhetoric. We would like to acknowledge the debt we have towards him. This meeting was the beginning of a great friendship. May he be thanked for all the attention and help he has given us during those years.

We will not mention the names of the other friends who helped us with their advice, who encouraged us, who reread the manuscripts: they will recognize themselves. We are infinitely grateful to them. However, we owe a special mention to Jerry Ryan, the translator, and our colleague Michel Le Clair who invested all his professional skills in the revision of the texts and the realization of the layout of the French edition of this last book, as well as French, English and Arabic editions of the first two books.

We thank the French Institute of Oriental Archeology (Cairo), which hosted our first articles in the *Annales Islamologiques* (n° 33, 1999, for Suras 99 to 104, n° 34, 2000, for Suras 92 to 98; n° 35, 2001, for Suras 85 to 90, n° 37, 2003, for Suras 81 to 84) and graciously allowed us to publish a revised edition in this book. We also thank Professors C.A. Segovia and B. Lourié for having authorized us to partially reproduce a study on Sura 96, published in the volume edited by them in memory of J. Wansbrough, mentioned in the bibliography.

Finally, we express our gratitude to the IQSA management, especially Professor Gabriel Said Reynolds, Professor Reuven Firestone and Professor Gerald Hawting, for inviting us to publish an English translation of the present book, as the first monograph of the collection of *Quranic Studies* launched by them. We also warmly thank Professor Devin J. Stewart and Ryann Craig for revising and greatly improving the English text, and Billie Jean Collins for ensuring the complex edition of this book.

Introduction

The present volume closes a sort of trilogy devoted to the exegesis of the Qurʾān analyzed according to the principles of Semitic rhetoric. The first volume, *The Banquet: A Reading of the Fifth Sura of the Qurʾan* (2009), applied this method to a long *sūrah* which presents itself as a testamentary text that brings the qurʾānic revelation to a close. The second volume, *The Composition of the Qurʾan* (2015), gave a systematic exposition of the method used in *The Banquet*. This, the third volume, forms a counterpart to the first since it studies the shortest *sūrahs* of the Qurʾān, which are reputed to date from the beginnings of the preaching of Muḥammad. The date, style, and content of the *sūrahs* treated in these two works differ considerably. In spite of these differences, they are structured by the same system of literary composition—Semitic rhetoric. The major characteristics of this system are set forth in the foreword. We will not go back over its history, which has been treated in the first two volumes of this trilogy, except to remind the reader that this method of textual analysis, developed entirely in the field of Biblical Studies, has proved to be perfectly adequate for studying the qurʾānic text as well as other texts of the ancient Middle East. This is why these three volumes, first written in French, were not published in a collection of Islamic Studies, but rather in the collection *Rhétorique sémitique* (Semitic Rhetoric) which combines both Biblical and Qurʾānic Studies, notably the works of Roland Meynet, the best expert in this field and the director of this collection.

This work first appeared as a collection of articles published between 1997 and 2003 in MIDEO (*Mélanges de l'Institut Dominicain d'Études Orientales, Cairo*) and the *Annales islamologiques* of IFAO (Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, Cairo). After a lapse of ten to fifteen years, with the acquisition of greater experience in the application of Semitic rhetoric to the Qurʾān and the opportunity to take new sources into account, it was inevitable that these articles needed revision. For certain *sūrahs* the revisions are minor; for others they are more important. The most significant new element is found at the level of the study of the relationships the *sūrahs* have with one another. Following the intuitions of the Indo-Pakistani exegete Amīn Aḥsan Iṣlāḥī (1904–1997), we already pointed out in our articles that the *sūrahs* combine semantically by pairs. We also noticed that, at least in the short *sūrahs*, these pairs sometimes form groups of six or eight. A deeper examination of these series, in the final thirty-three *sūrahs* of the Qurʾān, has led us, in the present work, to break up this group into three sections with various subdivisions which will gradually become apparent in the subsequent discussion.

Be it within a *sūrah* or between *sūrahs*, the question remains the same: do the small textual units (verses or short *sūrahs*) manifest all their meaning when taken individually, or is it necessary to replace them in their immediate literary context to really understand them? After study, it appears that the “atomistic” reading of the text in small, isolated units—a reading that we refuse to accept for the verses—is no less invalid for the short *sūrahs*, even if these appear to have origins independent from one another. There is no doubt that the redactors who assembled the textual fragments of the Qur'ān into a book (the *mushaf*) according to a certain order were guided by precise intentions. In the end, it is these intentions which the rhetorical analysis of the text enables us to discover and better understand.

Why begin this study with Sūrah al-Takwīr? The simple necessity of stopping at a *sūrah* so as not to have a book which is too thick! We admit that this is an entirely arbitrary choice. It is very possible that a future study of the *sūrahs* which precede Sūrah 81 will modify certain of our divisions: what we consider, in this volume, as the “first section” in the last thirty-three *sūrahs* of the Qur'ān could perhaps also include other *sūrahs* prior to *sūrahs* 81–92. But we think that the reference to the initial vision of Muḥammad (in Sūrah 81), the point of departure for his career as Prophet, represents a good place to begin the study of this group of *sūrahs*, a good number of which evoke other moments of his prophetic preaching.

The other well-known theme of the short *sūrahs* traditionally attributed to the Mecca period is eschatology. It completely dominates the first section to the point of becoming repetitive. The preaching keeps hammering, often with the same formulas, on the announcement of the Resurrection and the Judgment that will separate the believers from the impious. In the second section, this announcement is combined with biographical allusions which enlighten, above all, the early preaching of the Prophet. The third section, very brief, contains just two *sūrahs*, which serve as the concluding prayers of the Qur'ān.

As in *The Banquet*, rhetorical analysis will take into consideration, when necessary, linguistic and grammatical data found in the Islamic exegetical tradition or in modern Orientalism. It will also sometimes be complemented by an *intratextual* study with other qur'ānic verses and through an *intertextual* study with non-qur'ānic texts belonging to the biblical or para-biblical tradition in cases in which the understanding of the qur'ānic text would seem to be enriched by this ampler literary contextualization. The overall objective remains the same: to seek to clarify the text through its context, of which there are several levels—the immediate context, which is nothing other than the rhetorical structure in which the text is inserted, the context of the Qur'ān as a whole (intratextuality), and, finally, the context of texts prior to the Qur'ān to which the latter sometimes apparently refers, explicitly or implicitly (intertextuality).

The primary aim of this book remains, however, the rhetorical analysis of the text. Given the novelty of this discipline being applied to Qur'anic Studies it seemed better to push this analysis down to the inferior textual levels of "segments" and "pieces." It is fitting that, in a work dedicated to the rhetoric of the qur'anic text, all the subtleties of composition be pointed out, not just on the level of the whole but likewise right down to the smallest subdivisions!¹

Cairo, May 2013

1. This volume was practically finished when we learned of the very detailed study of Angelika Neuwirth, dedicated to the short *sūrah*s, *Der Koran. Frühmekkanische Suren. Poetische Prophetie* (Berlin: Verlag der Weltreligionen im Inselverlag, 2011). We decided against integrating it into our references, for that would have implied too many changes and discussions. Like ourselves, Neuwirth combines the *sūrah*s into groups, but according to criteria other than those of Semitic rhetoric. Thus, our work is completely independent of hers. On the other hand, we have made several references to an earlier work of Neuwirth, *Studien zur Komposition der mekkanischen Suren* (Berlin and New York: De Gruyter, 1981).

Author's Note

A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF RHETORICAL ANALYSIS

In the pages that follow, the reader will find a “glossary of technical terms” used in rhetorical analysis. But, prior to that, it seems appropriate to give a very general idea of the *method* used in this approach to the text.

Semitic rhetoric's fundamental principle of composition is *symmetry*. Hence, the essential goal of the analysis will be to locate the different types of symmetry which compose the text and to define the relationship these symmetries have among themselves and the division of the text as determined by them.

There are three types of symmetry: *parallelism* (or “parallel construction”), when the related textual units reappear in the same order (ABC/A'B'C'); *concentrism* (or “concentric construction”), when the related textual units are arranged concentrically around a center (ABC/x/C'B'A'); and *mirror construction*, when a central element is lacking (ABC/C'B'A').

When most of the terms of a symmetry match, there is *total symmetry*. When only a few terms match, there is *partial symmetry* and these terms play the role of clues of composition.

When these clues of composition appear at the beginning and the end of a textual unit, they are referred to as *extreme* or *outer terms* (this is what is traditionally called *inclusion*). When they occur at the beginning, center, or end of two symmetrical units they are called respectively, *initial*, *central* or *final terms*. When they occur at the end of a unit and at the beginning of the following unit, they are referred to as median terms (what biblical scholars call the “hook word”).

The relationship among these terms can be one of *identity*, *synonymy* (in the broad sense of similar meaning), *antithesis*, *homophony* (a type which will not be found in this study), *paronymy* (or quasi-homophony, rather frequent in the Qur'ān), *assonance* (very frequent in the Qur'ān), *homography* (identically written, which is not rare in the Qur'ān if the diacritical points of the Arabic script are suppressed; these points did not exist when the Qur'ān was first put into writing).

These clues and symmetries exist in the text at different levels, which must be carefully distinguished, beginning the analysis at the inferior levels and then progressing to the superior levels:

- The *member* (termed in Greek the *stich*) is the first rhetorical level; in general, it corresponds to a syntagma.
- The *segment* contains one, two, or three members, never more.
- The *piece* contains two or three segments, never more.
- The *part* contains two or three pieces, never more.

It is the same for the four superior levels: the *passage*, the *sequence*, the *section*, and finally the book; each one is made up of one or several units (an indefinite number this time) of the level which is immediately inferior.

Sometimes intermediary levels need to be added: *sub-parts*, *sub-sequences* and *sub-sections*.

LUND'S LAWS

We should add some “laws” or ways of structuring the text to this outline of the method, and we will see the laws applied many times. They were theorized by the American biblical scholar Nils W. Lund, who published the results of his analysis of texts from the Old and New Testaments in 1930–1940. Given their importance and the number of times we will refer to them, explicitly or not, we reproduce five of Lund's seven laws here.²

First Law: The center is always the turning point. The center may consist of one, two, three, or even four lines.

Second Law: At the center there is often a change in the trend of thought, and an antithetical idea is introduced. After this, the original trend resumes and continues until the system is concluded. For want of a better term, we shall designate this feature the *law of the shift at the center*.

Third Law: Identical ideas are often distributed in such a fashion that they occur at the extremes and at the center but nowhere else in the system.

Fourth Law: There are also many instances of ideas occurring at the center of one system and recurring at the extremes of a corresponding system, the second system evidently having been constructed to match the first. We shall call this feature the *law of shift from the center to the extremes*.

Fifth Law: There is a definite tendency of certain terms to gravitate towards certain positions within a given system, such as the divine names in the Psalms, quotations in the central position of a system in the New Testament.³

2. Here we reproduce Roland Meynet's slightly rewritten translation published in *Rhetorical Analysis: An Introduction to Biblical Rhetoric* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1998), 143–144. The original text is in Nils Wilhelm Lund, *Chiasmus in the New Testament: A Study in Formgeschichte*, (Chapel Hill: UNC Press, 1942), 40–41. See also Michel Cuypers, *The Composition of the Qur'an: Rhetorical Analysis*, (London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2015), 109–131.

3. In the Qur'ān the divine name also appears in a privileged way in the outer parts and the centers of systems.

READING GUIDE

The divisions of this book are those of the analysis of the text: three “sections,” the first two are divided into “sub-sections,” leading up to an analysis of the individual *sūrahs*.

The Schemas

At the start of the analysis of each section, a schema with a brief commentary gives an overview of the different sub-sections and sequences which comprise it.

The Rubrics

In principle, the analysis of each *sūrah* is divided into several rubrics. The text of the *sūrah* is given first, followed, if necessary, by “questions of vocabulary.” Then comes the “composition,” consisting of tables with explanations, next the “interpretation,” and, eventually, the “interscriptural context.” In this book, however, these divisions in rubrics are not systematic. For certain *sūrahs*, questions of vocabulary, interpretation, and intertextuality are intertwined with the study of composition, since the first depend directly on the latter.

Version, Language, and Translation

The text of the Qur’ān used in this book is that known as the Cairo version (1924), which has become the official “Vulgate” and the most wide-spread version.

It goes without saying that rhetorical analysis can only be applied to the original language of the text—in this case Arabic. But to avoid too heavy a presentation and, particularly, to remain accessible to any non-Arabic reader, we thought it preferable to offer the texts in English translation only. An Arabic speaking reader should be able to read this book with an Arabic version of the Qur’ān in hand. Let us clarify immediately that this translation is no more than a working tool, which follows the Arabic text as closely as possible to bring out the rhetorical particularities, at the risk of becoming an English text in need of correction. As far as possible, the same Arabic word will be translated by the same English word, at least within the same context. We have been inspired by existing translations, principally those of Richard Bell and Abdullah Yusuf Ali, because of their care to remain literal.

Hyphenation of some words in the translation indicates that these are one word in the original.

The Tables

The tables provide the Qur’ānic text in which:

- The typefaces Baskerville, Arial, Arial narrow, and Garamond and the different styles (bold, italic, small capitals, capitals, etc.) indicate the relationship between matching elements.

- Each line of the rewriting is a member, preceded by a typographical sign such as /-/+/=/*/ to emphasize the relationship between matching lines, but these signs have no semantic value.
- The typographical signs are followed by the verse number for the first member of the verse under discussion and a letter of the alphabet for the members which follow, to facilitate reference to the tables in the explanations.
- Boxes distinguish those units which are linked at the level of the passage.

The tables of pieces, parts, and passages are detailed and typographically distinguish the lower levels of rhetorical units which comprise them. However, most of these divisions are not repeated in the tables for sequences and sub-sequences.

DESCRIPTION OF COMPOSITION

In theory, the tables could demonstrate the text's composition on their own. In practice, however, it is necessary to offer some commentary on them. References to the tables are by verse number followed by the letter which corresponds to the member under discussion. The indication "2c," for example, refers to the third line of verse 2. When the commentary refers to a verse from a *sūrah* other than the *sūrah* being studied, the verse number is preceded by the *sūrah* number separated by a colon: 24:6 refers to verse 6 of Sūrat al-Nūr.

The typesetting has tried to place the tables near to their explanation, placing them on facing pages so that the reader does not have to turn the page to refer to the table. Where it has not been possible to put all the explanations on the same page, the table is repeated on the following facing page.

More than one reader will likely find the stage of composition and its commentary rather arduous. We are not presenting an essay, but rather a study that seeks to be as objective as possible (in a field where absolute objectivity is surely an illusion!) and that utilizes a presentation that is quite technical. The assimilation of the material will require some effort on the part of the reader, but he or she will progressively discover the pleasure of recognizing the qur'ānic text as a skillful piece of architecture whose elements are subtly interconnected.

Since the most important tables, however, are those of the passages and sequences, i.e., the *sūrahs* and the pairs of *sūrahs*, the reader who is less interested in the details of the composition can skip the study of pieces and parts.

A Brief Overview of the Thirty-Three Sūrahs

Before entering into detailed analysis of the *sūrahs*, it might be good to have an idea of their sequence such as it will progressively appear.

If we leave to one side the last two *sūrahs* (Q 113–114), which are a liturgical conclusion of the book, all the preceding thirty-one *sūrahs* make up two large sections.

The first section (Q 81–92) opens with an apocalyptic announcement of the Day of Judgment (Q 81). It presents the Prophet, who is not named, whose proclamation confronts the incredulity of his audience. The Prophet himself, however, has absolute certainty of the authenticity of the message he is transmitting, for this message has been revealed by a heavenly messenger who has appeared to him.

The following *sūrahs* are a series of variations on the themes of judgment and the disbelief of those whom the Prophet addresses. Paradise is promised to the believers, Hell to the disbelievers. Their disbelief is essentially rooted in their attachment to riches, which makes them dishonest (Q 83) and egoistic, oblivious to the destitute (Q 89). Since they do not want to change their behavior, they reject the preaching of the Prophet as a pure lie. That will not stop God from condemning them, when the Day comes, just as He condemned the peoples before them who rejected the warnings of the prophets (Q 85, 89, and 91). In the face of these contradictions, God encourages His Prophet to persevere in the proclamation of the message and to trust in Him (Q 87–88). In passing, this proclamation is accompanied by descriptions of the agonies of Hell and the bliss of Paradise (Q 88). Paradise is promised to those who make a moral effort, which essentially consists in being attentive to those who suffer misery (Q 90), in generosity, and in detachment from riches (Q 92).

The second section is divided into three sub-sections. The two extreme sub-sections are more directly biographical. The first shows the Prophet in crisis because of the rejection of his preaching. But God comes to his aid, reminding him that He has already intervened in his life on previous occasions and promising him ultimate success, inviting the Prophet to trust in Him (Q 93, 94) and to pray in spite of the opposition of his enemies (Q 96). The authenticity of the revelation is reiterated (Q 97), or it conveys the purified religion, which consists in prayer and alms-giving, in the face of the polytheists and the People of the Book (Jews and Christians).

The second sub-section (Q 99–104) links up again with the eschatological themes of the first sub-section: the announcement of the Resurrection and

Judgment (Q 99), a new warning against riches (Q 100, 102, and 104), and promise of Paradise and Hell according to what each one has done or failed to do (Q 101, 103).

The third sub-section returns to an historical and biographical theme. Here one sees awakening of a new religious consciousness founded on the kindly intervention of God in the history of the Quraysh, the tribe of Muḥammad (Q 105, 106). This is followed by another condemnation of the rich as well as of the hypocrites, who practice a formalistic cult (Q 107). The Prophet, whom they consider a pariah, is again encouraged by God to persevere in an authentic prayer, addressed to the Lord (and not to idols; Q 108). The true religion is then firmly proclaimed (Q 109); it is this religion which will triumph, gathering the crowds into itself (Q 110), while its detractors will perish in Hell (Q 111).

Thus does the book end with a solemn proclamation of the unicity of God, the true heart of religion according to the Qur'ān (Q 112).

Abbreviations, Acronyms, References, and Quotes

<i>ad locum</i>	(refers to the verse commentated in a <i>tafsīr</i>)
BCE	before the Common Era
CE	Common Era
d.	death
<i>DC</i>	<i>Dictionnaire du Coran</i> (Bouquins, 2007)
ed.	edited by
<i>EI¹</i>	<i>Encyclopaedia of Islam</i> , First Edition (Brill, 1913–1938)
<i>EI²</i>	<i>Encyclopaedia of Islam</i> , Second Edition (Brill, 1954–2005)
<i>EQ</i>	<i>Encyclopaedia of the Qurʾān</i> (Brill, 2001–2006)
IFAO	Institut français d’archéologie orientale (Cairo)
<i>JQS</i>	<i>Journal of Qur’anic Studies</i>
<i>MIDEO</i>	<i>Mélanges de l’Institut dominicain d’études orientales</i> (Cairo)
n.	note
p.	page(s)
f., ff.	following page(s)
T. Ab	Testament of Abraham
T. Mos	Testament of Moses
trans.	Translation
1 En	First Book of Enoch

The abbreviations for biblical books are as follows:

Gen	Genesis	Wis	Book of Wisdom
Lev	Leviticus	Matt	Gospel according to Matthew
Num	Numbers	Mark	Gospel according to Mark
Deut	Deuteronomy	Luke	Gospel according to Luke
1 Sam	First Book of Samuel	John	Gospel according to John
2 Sam	Second Book of Samuel	Acts	Acts of the Apostles
Ps	Psalms	Heb	Letter to the Hebrews
Isa	Isaiah	2 Peter	Second Epistle of Peter
Ezek	Ezekiel	Rev	Revelation (Apocalypse)
Dan	Daniel		
Joel	Joel		

The first appearance of a Muslim author is followed by the date of his death (d.). The first year gives the date in the Hijri calendar (which begins in 622 CE) and the second that of the Common Era. For example, Fakhr al-Din

al-Rāzī (d. 606/1209) died in 606 of the Hijri calendar or 1209 of the Common Era.

Book references are given in full form on the first citation and shortened in subsequent references; full references are also found in the bibliography at the end of the book.

Biblical quotations are taken from *The New Jerusalem Bible* (1985). The name Yahweh, however, is rendered YHWH.

TRANSLITERATION

For the transliteration of Arabic words, certain consonants are represented by a consonant combined with an *h*; *th* (pronounced like in the English word thick), *kh* (the Spanish jota), *dh* (English this), *sh* (English she). The left half ring corresponds to *ʿayn*, a hollow-sounding laryngial fricative characteristic of Arabic, and the right half ring corresponds to the hamzah, a hollow occlusive glottal sound. The *q* is an occlusive uvulo-velar sound. Certain Arabic terms and place names that are very common in English are written as they usually are: Islam, Mecca, Sunni, Shi'ah, etc., others are transliterated in plain typeface such as Qur'ān and Muḥammad. Specific terms are italicized: *sūrah*, *ḥadīth*, *qirā'āt*, *tafsīr*, with glosses provided when necessary.

ا	<i>a</i>	ز	<i>z</i>	ق	<i>q</i>
ب	<i>b</i>	س	<i>s</i>	ك	<i>k</i>
ت	<i>t</i>	ش	<i>sh</i>	ل	<i>l</i>
ث	<i>th</i>	ص	<i>ṣ</i>	م	<i>m</i>
ج	<i>j</i>	ض	<i>ḍ</i>	ن	<i>n</i>
ح	<i>ḥ</i>	ط	<i>ṭ</i>	ه	<i>h</i>
خ	<i>kh</i>	ظ	<i>ẓ</i>	و	<i>w</i>
د	<i>d</i>	ع	<i>ʿ</i>	ي	<i>y</i>
ذ	<i>dh</i>	غ	<i>gh</i>	ء*	<i>ʾ</i>
ر	<i>r</i>	ف	<i>f</i>		

**Hamzah* may be written on its own (ء) or on a letter “chair” (أ / إ / ؤ / ئ)

Glossary of Technical Terms

1. TERMS SIGNIFYING THE RHETORICAL UNITS

Very often, in works of exegesis, the terms “section,” “passage,” and especially “piece” are used unequivocally. Here is a list of terms which, in the present methodological exposition, signify the textual units at each successive level.

The “Inferior” (Non-Autonomous) Levels

Apart from the first and second (term and member) the units of inferior levels are formed of one, two, or three units of the preceding level.

TERM	The term usually corresponds to a “lexeme” or a word that belongs to the lexicon—noun, adjective, verb, or adverb.
MEMBER	The member is a syntagma, or a group of “terms” linked closely together syntactically. The “member” is the minimal rhetorical unit (corresponding to the Greek “stich”). Sometimes the member can include only one term.
SEGMENT	The segment counts one, two, or three members. There are uni-member segments (“monostichs”), bi-member segments (“distichs”), and tri-member segments (“tristichs”).
PIECE	The piece counts one, two, or three segments.
PART	The part counts one, two, or three pieces.

The “superior” (Autonomous) Levels

They are all formed of one or several units from the previous level.

PASSAGE	The passage, the equivalent of the exegetes’ “pericope,” is formed of one or several parts.
SEQUENCE	The sequence is formed of one or more passages.
SECTION	The section is formed of one or more sequences.
BOOK	The book is formed of one or more sections.

Sometimes it is necessary to use intermediary levels such as the “sub-part,” “sub-sequence” and “sub-section”; these intermediary units have the same definitions as the part, sequence, and section.

SIDE	The side is the part of the text which precedes or follows the center of a construction; if the center is composed of two parts, the side corresponds to each of the two halves of the construction.
------	--

2. TERMS SIGNIFYING THE RELATION BETWEEN SYMMETRICAL UNITS

Total Symmetries

PARALLEL CONSTRUCTION

A figure of composition in which the elements in paired relations are arranged in a parallel manner: ABCDE/A'B'C'D'E'. When two parallel units frame a unique element, we talk about parallelism to indicate the symmetry between these two units, but the whole—the superior unit—will be considered as a concentric construction: A/x/A'. “Parallel construction” can also be described as “parallelism,” which is the opposite of “concentrism.”

MIRROR CONSTRUCTION

A figure of composition in which units in a pairwise relationship are arranged in an anti-parallel or “mirror” manner: ABCDE/E'D'C'B'A'. Like the parallel construction, the mirror construction has no center; like the concentric construction, the related elements correspond to one another as in a mirror. When the construction contains only four units, the term “chiasm” is also used: AB/B'A'.

CONCENTRIC CONSTRUCTION

A figure of composition in which the symmetrical units are arranged in a concentric manner: ABCDE/x/ E'D'C'B'A' around a central element—which can be a unit of any level of textual organization. “Concentric construction” can also be described as “concentrism,” which is the opposite to “parallelism.”

Partial Symmetries

INITIAL TERMS

Identical or similar terms or syntagmas which mark the beginning of symmetrical textual units; anaphora in classical rhetoric.

FINAL TERMS

Identical or similar terms or syntagmas which mark the end of symmetrical textual units; epiphora in classical rhetoric.

OUTER TERMS or “extreme terms”

Identical or similar terms or syntagmas which mark the outer parts of a textual unit; inclusion in traditional exegesis.

MEDIAN TERMS

Identical or similar terms or syntagmas which mark the end of a textual unit and the beginning of the unit which is symmetrical to it; “linking word” in traditional exegesis.

CENTRAL TERMS

Identical or similar terms which mark the centers of two symmetrical textual units.

For more detail see Michel Cuypers, *The Composition of the Qur'an* and Meynet, *Treatise on Biblical Rhetoric*.⁴

The Principle Rules of Rewriting

- Within a member, the terms are generally separated by blanks;
- Each member is generally rewritten on a single line;
- The segments are separated by a white line;
- The pieces are separated by a broken line;
- The part is marked off by two fine lines; it is the same for sub-parts.
- Within a passage, the parts are framed (unless they are very short, such as an introduction or conclusion); potential sub-parts are disposed in contiguous frames;
- Within a sequence or sub-sequence, the passages, rewritten in prose, are arranged in frames separated by a white line;
- Within the sequence, the passages of a sub-sequence are arranged in contiguous frames.

For the rules of rewriting see Cuypers, *Composition*, ch. 6 and Meynet, *Treatise*, ch. 4, 187–229.

4. Roland Meynet, *Treatise on Biblical Rhetoric* (Leiden: Brill, 2012).